UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,151	05/18/2006	George C. Prendergast	3882-P03161US2	4302
	7590 10/15/200 MAN, HERRELL & S	EXAMINER		
1601 MARKET		STONE, CHRISTOPHER R		
SUITE 2400 PHILADELPHI	IA, PA 19103-2307	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/551,	151	PRENDERGAST ET AL.				
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		CHRIST	OPHER R. STONE	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. catutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION PROPERTY THE COMMUNICATION PROPERTY OF THE COMM	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this one (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance excep	ot for formal matters,	-	e merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-37,40 and 48-52 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 38,39,41-47,53 and 54 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to the oath or declaration is objected to the specific process.	: a) ☐ accepted or bection to the drawing(s) of the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. ired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C	` ,			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 02/13/2008, 03/12/2008.	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed July 7, 2008, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 38, 39 and 41-47, 53 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the treatment of breast cancer, does not reasonably provide enablement for the treatment other cancers. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claims 38, 39 and 41-47, 53 and 54 are drawn to a method of treating cancer comprising administering an IDO inhibitor and a chemotherapeutic compound. Methyl-TH-DL-Trp, 1-methyl-DL-tryptophan (1MT) and cisplatin are the elected species of IDO inhibitors and chemotherapeutic compound currently under examination. The prior art indicates that cancer is a group of maladies not treatable with one medicament or therapeutic regime. No single chemotherapeutic drug is useful for the treatment of every

Art Unit: 1614

case of cancer. In fact, some types of cancer do not respond well to any known chemotherapeutic drugs (see Oxford Textbook of Oncology, p. 451, Column 2, last paragraph). These negative results indicate the unpredictability of the art. Furthermore, the applicant has provided no working examples demonstrating the efficacy of this treatment on cancers other that breast cancer. For these reasons, it would take undue experimentation by one of ordinary skill in the art to use this method to treat cancers, other that breast cancer, with a reasonable expectation of success.

Applicant argues that the art filed, July 7, 2008, demonstrates the effectiveness of the instantly claimed compounds against several different cancer types and that in light of this broad range of efficacy the instantly claimed method is enabled for the treatment of cancer. This is not found persuasive. Figure 1A of Hou et al does not demonstrate the efficacy of the method of treatment currently under examination, i.e. a method of treating cancer comprising administering Methyl-TH-DL-Trp or 1-methyl-DLtryptophan (1MT), and cisplatin, on other cancers. Hou et al is drawn to the treatment of melanoma xenografts using cyclophosphamide and 1MT. Figure 2 is drawn to the treatment of breast cancer comprising administering IMT and cyclophosphamide or paclitaxel. Additionally, 1MT has no effect when administered alone (see figure 1A, 2), lending no support to the efficacy of the instantly claimed treatment against other tumor types. Kumar et al does not demonstrate the efficacy of the method of treatment currently under examination on other cancers. Kumar et al is drawn to a method of treating breast tumors comprising administering vitamin K3 and paclitaxel. Muller et al does not demonstrate the efficacy of the method of treatment currently under

examination on other cancers. Muller et al is drawn to the treatment of breast cancer comprising administering methyl-TH-DL-trp and paclitaxel. methyl-TH-DL-trp has no effect when administered alone (see figure 6), lending no support to the efficacy of the instantly examined treatment against other tumor types.

The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed, July 7, 2008, is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claim 38, 39 and 41-47, 53 and 54. The declaration does not demonstrate the efficacy of the method of treatment currently under examination, i.e. a method of treating cancer comprising administering Methyl-TH-DL-Trp or 1-methyl-DL-tryptophan (1MT), and cisplatin, on other cancers. The declaration is drawn to treating lung and colon cancer comprising administering 1MT and cyclophosphamide.

Additionally, 1MT has no effect when administered alone (see Exhibits A and B), lending no support to the efficacy of the instantly claimed treatment against other tumor types.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER R. STONE whose telephone number is (571)270-3494. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am-4:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,151 Page 6

Art Unit: 1614

02October2008 CRS

/Ardin Marschel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614